

[illegible]

that honest patronage in which the
ought to oppose reform? If the judicial
refuse to offer these measures to Congress
those committees are ambitious to gain the
and is willing to accept it for less than tw
surrender any portion of its patronage. (W)

GEN. GRANT IN THE WEST

Our great Captain's characteris-
tics and strict business habits were never more
trated than in the following admirable let-
ter he declines a showy reception by the
worth:

*The Hon. C. R. MOREHEAD, Mayor of
the Kansas City.*
DEAR SIR: Your favor of the 11th inst., in-
vitation of the Council of Leavenworth to
make a public reception and asking when I sh
be in the city, has just been received. I am
now in the train which starts in the after-
noon. I will probably be in Leavenworth on
quarters of Gen. Sherman, and will be
fully apprised of Leavenworth who do me
call. But allow me to decline a public recep
appreciate the compliment conveyed in
the invitation, and I hope to be able to see
citizens for it; but while traveling for recrui
inspect personally a country with which I
have been so long connected, I cannot give
public demonstrations. Believing that you
of Leavenworth, to whom I refer, may
fully appreciate the reasons which I now
duces me to decline this proffered kindness
myself, very respectfully, your obedient ser-
vant,
S. L. LEWIS.

THE CANDIDATES COMPARED

The Republican State Committee
shesets have issued an address to the peo-
ple of Missouri, in which they set forth their
ments, contains the following passage:

The financial policy of the Democratic party
has been to borrow money, to raise taxes, to
Seymour, infamous and peridious as it is
dangerous not because there is any strong
feeling against the man, but because he is
cause the election of Seymour, were such an
place, would be surely followed by new cov
driving out of the country the honest and
the country, the discouraged country sooner or
in abyss of bankruptcy.

To this faction, this promoter and ex-
ecutor of the Democratic policy, the Republi-
cans oppose itself. It presents to the people as its
candidate a man not identified with old poli-
tics, a man who has been in the army of the
and Government, in which, next to Abraham
took the most conspicuous and honorable pa-
role, a man who has been in the army of the
served throughout the war, from captain to
in-chief; who received the sword of Lee; who
demanded obedience and yielded it; who
has been in the army of the United States
conqueror; who has no dear political dogmas to
deny, who has a reasonable administration of the
and Government, who has been in the army
courage factions after he shall have ended
term of office. With steadiness and constan-
cy he has adhered to the will of the people
the country, since the war as during the war
may the people, wearied of their
and Government, and of the
wise administration of affairs and
ful guidance out of their difficulties and
the people, and the people, and the people,
President, the country recognizes a man who
place, a young, vigorous, conscientious, and
the people, and the people, and the people,
certainty for good faith and wise and con-
steadfastness. These candidates represent
the party of the future, and the party of
represent the party of the past. We oppose our
their candidates, and fearlessly we oppose
the party of the past, and the party of the
and every respect. Swatizize the histo-
the elements of which the two are composed
the party of the future, and the party of the
order which prevails before us in contemplation
of Seymour and Blair, we ask if the only

A Washington correspondent teleg-
Every day brings to light some new feature
of the managers of the late Democratic
It is now understood that the night proceed-
ing at the delegation met, and, having re-
vassed the situation, again determined to sup-
port. With this understanding the delega-
tion met at the residence of Mr. C. G. Mc-
Cookey, and a consultation which lasted un-
til about midnight. Mr. C. G. McCookey,
Pondexter withdrew his name, and before the
know what they were about, the name of
holsted and carried through with a rush.
The names of the delegates were: Mr. C. G.
Olauson, and Vallandigham, Gen. McCookey,
Thurman who were discovered to have been
in the city, and the names of the delegates
These men the Democratic party must hold
for the humiliating attitude it holds before
the sacrifice of so fair a prospect of success.

A Washington correspondent of
paper says:
Gen. Tom Ewing's friends are complaining
a trick played by Montgomery Blair to dis-
franchise the Democrats. It is said that it
appears that when Ewing was in command
and Kansas, the range of counties of the first
order and after trying in vain to discover them,
and known as Order No. 11, directing the

Others claim that Blair prepared himself to this order, and when Gen. Ewing's name was shown them around among the Southern troops, they were so shocked that they refused to follow him. Vallandigham is in town. Those who have conversed with him report that he has been very thoughtful and anxious to no longer any doubt but that every month at New-York, even after being taken into the gaol by Wash McLean, had special reference to Pendleton.

SOUL'S LIBERTY.

To the Editor of *The Tribune*.

SIR: The case of Mary Ann Smith, as detailed by the law reports of the 10th inst., draws your attention. The judge in his decision pronounces it a "very embarrassing case and not free from doubt." He says that he is "not prepared to benefit from his doubts, but was remiss of the Good Shepherd." If the report of the case is correct, the law is in a very bad spirit of religious persecution is being evoked. This young woman demands the sympathy of a soul liberty. If her convictions led her to leave her country, she would have no right to liberty to unite with those whose religious views were in unison with her own. We boast of our religious freedom, but we are not prepared to perpetuate principles that belong to the darkness to stifle the convictions of those who have forsaken the creed of Rome, the sooner we give them the right to leave their country, the more we hope that this case will be re-examined. The judge involves a vital principle. If the correct, we have sadly misinterpreted the meaning of the laws that guarantee liberty and freedom of religious worship to all.

New-York, July 11, 1862.